INDONESIAN SALAFISM ON JIHĀD AND SUICIDE BOMBINGS

Rusli IAIN Palu – Indonesia | rusli_mochtar@yahoo.com

Abstract: This paper deals with *jihād* and suicide bombings from the perspective of Indonesian salafism. It is argued that there are two different points of view related to this issue. The first is those who are affiliated with Wahhabi salafists such as those involved in Salafi-based foundations like As-Sunnah, Ihyaut Turats, al-Sofwah, Lajnah al-Khairiyah, Lajnah al-Istiqamah, and Wahdah Islamiyyah. They do not agree with suicide bombing directed to Western targets—mainly America—and its symbols. Suicide bombings are equivalent to killing oneself, and this is extremely forbidden in Islam. The second is Salafijihadists who have justified suicide bombing attacks to infidel targets and the symbols of tagut (false god). The reason is blowing oneself is not the same as committing suicide, for suicide is a sin. However, he is believed as carrying out "martyrdom operations", sacrificing himself for the sake of the superior goal of defending his religion and community, while suicide is a hopeless deed performed by a person who kills himself for his own selfish reason.

Keywords: Indonesian salafism, jihad, suicide bombings, Wahhabi-salafism

Introduction

Salafism is seen as a social movement in the discourse of Islamic thought. From a sociological point of view, social movement may be studied from two considerable paradigms. The first paradigm is put forward by Ralph Turner and Lewis Killian, who divide social movement into three categories; first, value-oriented movement, in which the members have commitment to the principle of rejecting all

¹ Ralph Turner and Lewis Killian, *Collective Behaviour* (Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey: Prentice Hall, 1957).

forms of compromise for sake of a desired goal; second, power-oriented movement, whose main goal is to seize power, status and recognition for the members of movement. One of the dogma of this movement is the assumption that by having control over economic and political power, anything deemed as evil in society can be wiped out; third, participation-oriented movement, which may take many forms such as passive-reform movement that only voices defects in society, but never tried to actively eradicate them, or personal-status movement that recommends a redefinition of an existing status system, by which their status is expected to be raised.

The second paradigm is proposed by David Aberle who divides social movement into transformative, reformative, redemptive and alternative.² Transformative movement is a movement which aims at bringing about changes comprehensively for individuals or societies, which usually involve violence and destruction. Reformative movement is usually carried out to eradicate defects in an existing social system; however, it does not destroy its basic structure. Redemptive movement is a movement that is focused more on individual changes than institutional ones, while alternative movement makes an effort to partially alter an individual's behavior. This movement assumes that human beings are naturally good; however, it would be better if their bad characters and behavior are eradicated.

As a social movement, Salafism is not in an intellectual vacuum, but emerges in the environment surrounded by intellectual turbulences, and is based on a sturdy epistemological foundation. This following issue deals with an historical sketch of Salafism and its epistemological foundation on which this movement and its activities are based.

The Brief History and Epistemology of Salafism

The term "Salafism" contains two words "salaf" and "ism". The word "salaf" refers to "ancestors, predecessors," while "ism" generally refers to "system of belief." If combined, the word "Salafism" may

² Cited in Frank N. Magill, *International Encyclopedia of Sociology*, Vol. 2 (London, Chicago: Salem Press, 1995), p. 1244; Gordon Marshall, *Oxford Dictionary of Sociology*, 2nd Edition (Oxford, New York: Oxford University Press, 1998), p. 166.

³ Dictionary Composing Team, *Kamus Besar Bahasa Indonesia*, Third Edition (Jakarta: Balai Pustaka, 2005), p. 982.

⁴ Ibid., p. 444.

mean "system of belief that is based on the practice of pious ancestors or predecessors." The Arabic word describing this meaning is salafiyyah, while its advocates are called salafi (plural: salafiyyūn).⁵ In Arabic dictionaries, there are myriad of meanings attached to the word salaf. It may refer to "loan and payment in advance" (al-gard wa al-salam), "all activities carried out previously by someone" (kull 'amal qaddamahu al-'abd), and "preceding nation in history" al-gawn al-mutaqaddimun fi alsayr).6 However, the most appropriate meaning in our discussion is the second and third meaning.

The difference of opinions occurs while discussing a technical meaning of the word salaf. It relates to the question whether the word salaf refers to a period of time or a particular method. Those who advocate the first meaning have different opinion on the specification of the period of salaf. According to Amir, al-Qayrawani limits that period only to the Companions of the Prophet (Sahabah), Al-Ghazali limits it only to the periods of Sahabah and Tabi'in (a generation after Saḥābah), while Ibn Taymiyyah, al-Shawkani, the majority of Muslim

⁵ The use of the word salafi is initially introduced by al-Sam'ani who states that salafi is an attribution to the word salaf, and an adherence to this school. See 'Abd al-Karim ibn Muḥammad al-Sam'ani, al-Ansāb, Vol. 7 (Kairo: Maktabah Ibn Taymiyyah, 1976), p. 104.

⁶ Arabic text is from the book Lisan al-'Arab, see Ibn Manzur, Lisan al-'Arab, Vol. 4 (Kairo: Dār al-Ḥadīth, 2003), p. 649; Sayyid Muḥammad Murtadā al-Ḥusaynī al-Zabīdī, Tāj al-'Arūs min Jawāhir al-Qāmūs, Taḥqīq: 'Abd al-Fattāḥ al-Ḥilw, Vol. 23 (Kuwait: Matba'ah Ḥukumah al-Kuwayt, 1986), pp. 454-55; Muḥammad ibn Ya'qub al-Fayrūzābādī, al-Qāmūs al-Muḥiṭ, Vol. 3 (Mesir: al-Hay'ah al-Miṣriyyah al-'Amah li al-Kitāb, 1979), p. 149; al-Khalil ibn Ahmad al-Farāhidi, Kitāb al-'Ayn, Tahqiq: 'Abd al-Hamid Handawi, Vol. 2 (Beirut: Dar al-Kutub al-'Ilmiyyah, 2003), p. 265; Isma'il ibn Ḥammad al-Jawhari, al-Ṣiḥāḥ: Tāj al-Lughah wa Ṣiḥāḥ al-'Arabiyyah, Taḥqiq: Aḥmad 'Abd al-Ghafur 'Attar, Vol. 4 (Beirut: Dar al-'Ilm li al-Malayin, 1979), p. 1376; Majma' al-Lughah al-'Arabiyyah, al-Mu'jam al-Wasīt, 4th Edition (Mesir: Maktabah al-Shuruq al-Dawliyyah, 2004), p. 444. This word salafi in the dictionary of al-Mu'jam al-Wasit is defined as "those who return to the Quran and Sunnah and invalidate other sources in Islamic laws" (man yarji' fi al-aḥkām al-shar'iyyah ilā al-kitāb wa al-sunnah wa yuhdir mā siwaha).

⁷ Abū Ḥāmid Muḥammad al-Ghazāli, "Iljām al-'Awwām 'an 'Ilm al-Kalām," in Majmū'ah Rasa'il al-Imam al-Ghazali, Tahqiq: Ibrahim Amin Muhammad (Kairo: al-Maktabah al-Tawfiqiyyah, nd), p. 320. The text is as follows:

اعلم أن الحق الصريح الذي لا مراء فيه عند أهل البصائر هو مذهب السلف, أعنى: الصحابة و التابعين

scholars expands it to three periods such as Ṣaḥābah, Tābi'in and Tābi' al-Tābi'in (a generation after Tābi'in).8 The reason for this limitation is more theological than sociological, because there is a hadith of the Prophet which states "The best people are those living in my generation, and then those who will follow them, and then those who will follow the latter."

However, from sociological point of view, the term *salaf* is raised in the context of the struggle of thought in Islam. It happened during the caliphate of 'Abbāsiyyah, in which an intellectual atmosphere and scientific environment was so widely open that it allowed the emergence of Islamic studies, scholarly debates, and writing of academic works. As the consequence, there emerged a diverse group of studies, which later became a school (*madhhab, firqah*). In its development, these schools established different methodological tendencies. Some scholars stick to mind reasoning, like Mu'tazilites and the philosophers, called as *aṣḥāb al-ra'y*, while others insist on the text (*naṣṣ*), known as *aṣḥāb al-ḥadīth*.

Both scholars have been in serious tension and conflict in the following years. Mutazilites neglected many Prophetic traditions and naql (narration), treated ahl al-ḥadīth unfairly, and denied them. They also did ta'wīl (allegorical interpretation) of the allegedly ambiguous Qur'anic verses (mutashābihāt), which is not acceptable among the scholars of ahl al-sunnah. Otherwise, ahl al-ḥadīth often claimed as the followers of pious Salaf scholars from the generation of Companions and Tābi'in who relied on religious texts, as a counter-hegemony against the rationalist views of the philosophers and theologians widespread in the period of 'Abbāsiyyah. However, since rationalist thought represented by the Mu'tazilites at that time was more

.

⁸ Jābir Idrīs 'Alī Amīr, Manhaj al-Salaf wa al-Mutakallimīn fi Muwāfaqah al-'Aql li al-Naql wa Athar al-Manhajayn fi al-'Aqīdah, Vol. 1 (Riyād: Adwā' al-Salaf, 1998), 36-37; See also, 'Īsā ibn Nāṣir al-Darabī, ''Min Ma'ālim al-Taysīr fi Tafsīr al-Salaf,'' Majallah al-Buḥūth wa al-Dirāsāt al-Qur'āniyyah, No. 3, Year II, pp. 163-164.

غير القرون قرني ثم الذين يلونهم ثم الذين يلونهم The text of the hadith: خير

This ḥadīth is narrated by al-Bukhārī in bahasan Faḍā'il al-Ṣaḥābah bab faḍā'il aṣḥāb al-Nabī ṣallā Allāh 'alayh wa sallam and Muslim in the chapter of Faḍā'il al-Ṣaḥābah (ḥadīth no: 210, 211, 212) through the narration of 'Abd Allāh ibn Mas'ūd.

dominant due to the support of power, then *ahl al-ḥadīth*'s thought with its leading scholars, Ahmad ibn Ḥanbal, suffered from exclusion.

In addition to the issues of Islamic conquest and the conversion of the adherents of other faiths into Islam, the spread of heresy (zindiq) and the emergence of the people involved in spreading doubts, art of debate, and method of argumentation, to confuse Muslims and sow doubts on the understanding of Islamic theology, take part in strengthening Salafi's method and movement. These factors, according to the al-Būri, 10 appeared at the last period of the Companions in a limited way, but began to expand and increase at the time of the expansion of Islamic conquest and the conversion of other faith's followers into Islam. It attracted the attention of Salafi scholars—among them is Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal—and encouraged them to counter those influences in order that Islamic theology is not covered with cloud of doubt, delusions, and misleading thoughts. This especially happened in the reign of 'Abbāsiyyah.

Therefore, based on the above description it can be said that Salafism both as a movement and method emerged in the era Ahmad ibn Hanbal, when the 'Abbasiyyah had been in power. Therefore, it is no exaggeration that Salafism is essentially a phenomenon of 'Abbasiyyah arising as a result of the Muslim's weaknesses in the face of the rationalist thinking of the philosophers and theologians, in addition to their attachment to the clear and simple religious texts. The majority of Muslim Arabs before the Islamic conquest are a modest society supported by a limited civilization. Nomadic environment (badawah) in which they lived resembles a plain sheet in the sense that they merely understand Islamic texts of the Quran and the Sunnah of the Prophet, without undertaking ta'wil (allegorical exegesis) and qiyas (analogical reasoning). The modesty of life and the lack of structure and complexity in the Arabian Peninsula maintains the method adopted by them in understanding Islam, "textualist method" (al-nahj al-nuṣuṣī), which gives priority to the text over philosophy, and narrative (al-ma'thur) over aivas. 11

¹⁰ Muḥammad Saʿid Ramaḍan al-Buṭi, *al-Salafiyyah: Marḥalah Zamaniyyah Mubarakah Lā Madhhab Islamī* (Damaskus: Dar al-Fikr, 1990), p. 35.

¹¹ Muḥammad 'Imarah, al-Salafiyyah (Tunisia: Dar al-Ma'arif, nd.), p. 9.

The next scholar who is considered to be the most determined to defend Salafism is Ahmad Ibn Taymiyyah (d. 728/1328), whose framework of thought in the field of law and theology is the same as Ibn Hanbal. He became a main reference for any Salafi movement. In matters of faith, the principles should be drawn from the text, as well as legal issues. Intellect, from Ibn Taymiyyah's point of view, has no authoritative power, but only serves to reinforce the law, not create it. The function of reason is to bring narrative (manqul) closer to reason (ma'qul), without having an authoritative power either to reject or accept it.¹²

In the contemporary era, there is a significant shift in terms of the methods and approaches to the understanding of religious texts. If the Salafi movement seemed previously so rigid in their understanding of Islam, but in the contemporary era, Salafi movement shows something fresh, although the issue of monotheism is relatively not different. This condition cannot be separated from the role of important figures such as Jamāl al-Dīn al-Afghānī and Muḥammad 'Abduh. Both figures are considered as the early pioneers and founders of the modern Salafi movement at the end of the 19th century.

The idea of modern Salafi is based on some of the following goals (1) To purify Islam from all forms of innovation (bid'ah) that can affect its purity based on the Quran and Sunnah; (2) To free Islamic law from blind imitation of traditional schools of Islamic jurisprudence; (3) To perform ijtihad as the best media to bridge the gap between historical Islam and modernity; (4) To introduce a modern education system which reconciles the religious and secular sciences; and (5) To revive Arabic linguistics in order to facilitate the interpretation of the authentic Islamic sources (Quran and Sunnah).¹³

In the later development, the Salafi movement is different to a great deal from what reformers echoed. Ridā's views later gain a place among the extreme-right group. Influential figures who echoed Ridā's views include Ḥasan al-Bannā and Sayyid Qutb. Both of these figures have a number of the same principles. Both saw Islam as a

¹² Sayyid 'Abd al-'Azīz al-Sīlī, al-'Aqīdah al-Salafīyyah bayn al-Imām Ibn Ḥanhal wa al-Imām Ibn Taymiyyah (Kairo: Dār al-Manār, 1993), p. 272.

¹³ Ahmed Ibrahim Abushouk, "Al-Manār and the Ḥadhramī Elite in the Malay-Indonesian World: Challenge and Response," *JRAS*, Series 3, Vol. 17, No. 3 (2007), p. 304.

comprehensive system that provides a range of guidance for all areas of life. Both identified their interpretation of Islam with Islam itself, and believed that alternative readings are not valid. Both did not believe in reason, and prioritized actions over thoughts. Both have the same view of what is required by the Islamic government in the future. Finally, they regarded violence as a legitimate medium for establishing Islamic order that they missed.¹⁴

Islamic government is considered as an integral part of Islam, and is essential to ensure the implementation of shariah. The model that should be followed is that was once epitomized by the Prophet and the Guided Chalips (al-khulafa' al-rashidun). Al-Banna assumed that the Companions as noble knights who runs jihad not for worldly interests or personal ambition, but to guide them to the path of Islam, while Qutb stated that the Companions are God's chosen servants to show humanity as a model that should be followed.¹⁵

According to these two scholars, all existing political systems have failed. Neither capitalist nor socialist systems are able to provide moral and spiritual values human beings need. As a result, the Western world has immersed in a variety of mental illnesses, sexual impropriety, and moral degradation. Therefore, the world needs Islam. The strategies to bring about these changes, according to al-Banna, is to preach by way of the indoctrination of the masses—from individuals to families, societies, government, and the last, the world in general.¹⁶ Meanwhile, according to Qutb, preaching is not enough. Jihad is important to protect the Islamic mission (da'wah) and eliminate the physical and mental barriers that prevent a person to be a true Muslim.¹⁷ However, in the case of those who do not accept such propaganda, al-Banna asserted that Islam emphasizes being kind to minorities and confirmed that establishing peaceful relationships with them is possible. However, al-Banna insists that Muslims must wage jihad until all people say "there is no god but Allah and Muhammad is his messenger," and

¹⁴ Ana Belén Soage, "Hasan al-Banna and Sayyid Qutb: Contiunity or Rupture?" *The Muslim World*, Vol. 99, no. 2 (April 2009), p. 295.

¹⁵ Ibid., p. 300.

¹⁶ Ibid., pp. 302-3.

¹⁷ Ibid., pp. 303-304.

fighting the Jews and Christians is an obligation that brings multiple rewards from God.¹⁸

Some important principles contained in al-Banna's wiritngs is supported by radical Islamic groups. One example that might represent this is the al-Banna's view on *jihād*, which is considered as one of the pillars of Islam. *Jihād*, in al-Banna's view, is a "military struggle." The idea was the main argument for the treatise of *al-Farīḍah al-Ghā'ibah* (the Lost Obligation), an influential pamphlet written by 'Abd al-Salam Faraj, drafting organizational ideology that once killed Anwar Saddat in October 1981. Various radical movements in the following modern era have been basically influenced by such figures as Ḥassān al-Banna dan Sayyid Quṭb.

Indonesian Salafism on Jihad and Suicide Bombings

Wahhabi-Salafism

In Indonesia, there is a variety of Salafism. However, the most salient in the discourse of Salafism is Salafi-Wahhabism and Salafi-Jihadism. Salafi-Wahhabism is known as a sect in Islamic movements which is related to its main figure, Muḥammad ibn 'Abd al-Wahhāb and his advocates such as 'Abd al-'Azīz ibn Bāz and Muḥammad Ṣāliḥ al-'Uthaymīn. These figures are known as purists promoting literalism in understanding Islamic texts. As the name suggests, the "purists" are primarily concerned with maintaining the purity of Islam as outlined in the Qur'an, Sunna, and consensus of the Companions. They believe that the primary emphasis of the movement should be promoting the Salafi creed and combating deviant practices, just as the Prophet fought polytheism, human desire, and human reason.

This movement is seen as anti-rationalism, anti-intellectualism, anti-mysticism, and strict literalism, which is hostile to humanistic epistemology, and attempts to interpret the Divine law without any degree of contextualization, thereby proclaiming 'the diacritical and indeterminate hermeneutic of classical jurisprudential hermeneutic as

-

¹⁸ Ibid., p. 304.

¹⁹ Ḥasan al-Bannā, "Risālah al-Jihād" in *Majmū'ah al-Rasā'il al-Imām al-Shahīd Ḥasan al-Bannā* (Alexandria: Dār al-Da'wah, 1998), pp. 263, 279.

corruptions of purity of Islamic faith and law'. 20 This oppositional dialectic and hostility extends not only to the 'Western Other' but also to un-likeminded Muslims. In its self-contained system of belief 'it has no reason to engage or interact with the other except from the point of dominance'.21

In Indonesia, this Salafi movement grew in campuses. Since 1900s, this movement got new impetus from the arrival of the graduates from Middle East and veterans from Afghanistan, including Ja'far Umar Thalib, Yazid Abdul Qadir Jawwas, Yusuf Usman Baisa, Muhammad Yusuf Harun, Ahmad Zawawi and M. Zaitun Rasmin.²² Their efforts to carry out Salafi missionary activities in turn make Salafi communities come to surface in many cities and areas in Indonesia. The far-reaching growth of this kind of community has produced Salafi-based foundations such as As-Sunnah, Ihyaut Turats, al-Sofwah, Lajnah al-Khairiyah, Lajnah al-Istiqamah, and Wahdah Islamiyyah. The attention of donor foundations in Saudi Arabia and other Gulf nations to support Salafi missionary activities after the Gulf War in 1990 has facilitated this growth. In line with the mushroom-like growth of Salafi foundations, there emerge Salafi madrasahs in many areas of Indonsia (See the table 1).

These Salafi madrasahs in Indonesia, Hasan argued,²³ took the model of Islamic learning centre associated with Mugbil ibn Hadi al-Wadi 1,24 one of the most outstanding Salafi scholars in Yemen. The

²⁰ Cited in Adis Duderija, "Islamic Groups and their World-views and Identities: Neo-Traditional Salafis and Progressive Muslims," Arab Law Quarterly, Vol. 21 (2007), p. 349.

²¹ Ibid., pp. 349-350.

²² Noorhaidi Hasan, "Salafi Madrasahs and Islamic Radicalism in Post-New Order Indonesia," in Kamaruzzaman Bustaman-Ahmad and Patrick Jory (eds), Islamic Studies and Islamic Education in Contemporary Southeast Asia (Malaysia: Yayasan Ilmuan, 2011), p.

²³ Ibid., p. 105.

²⁴ Muqbil began to receive recognition as a leading Salafi figures in the early 1980s due to various efforts to spread Salafi missionary movement in Yemen. His knowledge of the Wahhabi teachings was strengthened from his experiences of studying in Saudi Arabia for nearly two decades. At first, he studied in the Islamic teaching center in Najran, Mecca, hold by Muhammad ibn Salih al-'Uthaymin, before getting enrolled in the Islamic University of Madinah. During his studies, he had the opportunity to attend academic circles of the most important Salafi figures, such as 'Abd al-'Azīz ibn Baz dan Muhammad Nasir al-Din al-Albani. He was arrested for his alleged

teachers in these *madrasahs* are graduates from this centre of Islamic learning. If not, they were graduated from the universities in Saudi Arabia controlled by Saudi's religious scholars, mainly Muḥammad ibn Sāliḥ al-'Uthaymin. This teachers' relation to the mentors from the Middle East is closely maintained. They maintain this relationship through correspondence, telephone, and fax machine, particularly when they feel necessary to ask questions or request legal opinions (fatwā) to deal with complicated issues.

Table 1: Salafi-Wahabism-based *Pesantrens* in Indonesia

Area	Name of Pesantren
Yogyakarta	Ihyaus Sunnah (1994); Bin Baz; al-Ansar; Difa' Anis Sunnah
Solo	Al-Madinah dan Imam Bukhari (1995-2000); Ibn Taimiyah
Magelang	Minhajus Sunnah
Semarang	Lu'lu' wal Marjan
Cirebon	As-Sunnah
Temenggung	Al-Athariyah
Sukoharjo	Ittiba'us Sunnah
Magetan	Ittiba'us Sunnah
Jember	Al-Salafy
Ngawi	Ta'zimus Sunnah
Gresik	Al-Bayyinah
Cilacap	Al-Furqan
Pekanbaru	Al-Furqan
Makasar	As-Sunnah
Balikpapan	Ibn Qayyim

Source: Noorhaidi Hasan, "Salafi Madrasahs and Islamic Radicalism in Post-New Order Indonesia," in Islamic Studies and Islamic Education in Contemporary Southeast Asia (Malaysia: Yayasan Ilmuan, 2011), pp. 98-99.

One of the Salafi figures in Indonesia who had been influenced in a great deal by a great Yemeni salafi scholar, Muqbil ibn Hādi al-Wādi'ī, is Ja'far Umar Thalib. He widened his knowledge on Salafi teachings by studying with al-Wādi'ī in Yemen. He also learned from other Salafi-Wahhābi scholars through his hajj to Mecca and Medina. Whenever he visited two holy cities, he took the chance to broaden his knowledge of Salafi ideas by attending religious lectures given by prominent Saudi Salafi-Wahhābi teachers, such as Muhammad Nasir

involvement in a *coup d'état* against the sacred mosque led by Juhayman al-'Utaybi. After his release from the prison for several months, he returned to his homeland.

al-Din al-Albani and 'Abd al-'Aziz ibn Baz. Thalib's encounter with Muqbil and other Salafi-Wahhabi ulamas refreshed and strengthened his ideas and commitment to the movement that he initially developed in Indonesia. To transfer his salafi ideas, he established his own besantren (traditional Islamic education institution) named Ihva' al-Sunnah (Preserving the Prophet's Tradition).

Ja'far Umar Thalib has the same opinion as other Salafists that jihād is an obligation to every Muslim. He prescribes certain limits on and requirements for the compulsion to commit oneself to jihad. He quoted Ibn Qayyīm al-Jawziyyah, while distinguishing four categories of jihad, the struggle against the self (jihad al-nafs), the struggle against the evil (jihad al-shaytan), the struggle against non-believers and hypocrites (jihād al-kuffār wa al-munāfiqīn) and the struggle jihād arbāb alzulm wa al-bid'ah (war against despotism and heresy). 25 He argues that what is intrinsic in *jihād* is a struggle to be consistent in keeping to the straight path, identical with the *jihād* of the first category. But the situation in the Moluccas demands Muslims wage a jihad of the third category, namely jihad al-kuffar wa al-munafiqin. This category is still divided into two: jihad al-talab or jihad al-hujum and jihad al-mudafa'ah. In a *jihād al-talab*, one in which the offensive is taken, Muslims initiate an attack on infidels by offering them three choices: converting to Islam; paying a poll tax; or being subject to war. This sort of jihad is controlled by a host of regulations. For example, it can be carried out only under the command of a ruler (imam) whose legitimacy and leadership are approved by Muslims. In addition, it should be conducted under a strict ethical code; women and children are not allowed to be the targets of attacks. The *jihād al-mudāfa'ah* is a defensive action, when Muslims are under attack by infidels. While the first jihad is categorized as a fard kifayah, the second one is a fard 'ayn.²⁶

However, regarding suicide bombings, Thalib argues that it is religiously illegitimate to carry out suicide operations. It can be inferred from this position as a main protagonist of Sururi groups, including

²⁵ Shams al-Din ibn Qayyim al-Jawziyyah, Zād al-Ma'ād fi Hudā Khayr al-Thād, Vol. 1 (Cairo: Mustafa al-Babi al-Halabi, 1970), pp. 39-40; See also Noorhaidi, Laskar Jihad: Islam, Militancy and the Quest for Identity in Post-New Order Indonesia (Unpublished Ph.D Thesis, Universiteit Utrecht, 2005), p. 154.

²⁶ Noorhaidi, Laskar Jihad, p. 154.

Salman al-'Awdah, Şafar al-Hawalı, Ayman al-Zawahiri, 'Abd Allah 'Azzam, Osama bin Laden, and Mullah 'Umar,²⁷ who are responsible for the spread of violence, radicalism and intolerance among youth of the country,²⁸ and to some extent agreed with suicide attacks. Those who have been involved in Salafi-based foundations like As-Sunnah, Ihyaut Turats, al-Sofwah, Lajnah al-Khairiyah, Lajnah al-Istiqamah, and Wahdah Islamiyyah, also has the same opinion as other Wahhabi salafists. They do not agree with suicide bombing especially directed to Western targets—mainly America—and its symbols. They adopted the view of Muhammad ibn Salih ibn al-'Uthaymin concerning attacking an enemy by blowing oneself up. When al-'Uthaymin was asked the question "What is the ruling regarding acts of jihad by means of suicide, such as attaching explosives to a car and storming the enemy, whereby he knows without a doubt that he shall die as a result of this action," he responded by saying, "Indeed my opinion is that he is regarded as one who has committed suicide, and as a result he shall be punished in hell, for that which is authenticated on the authority of the Prophet, "Indeed, whoever (intentionally) kills himself then certainly he will be punished in the fire of hell, wherein he shall dwell forever."29

Therefore, it is clear that the reason this salafi group put forward is that suicide bombings involve killing oneself, while suicide is strictly prohibited in Islam, according to the following *hadith* of the Prophet:

It is narrated on the authority of Abū Hurayrah that the Messenger of Allah (may peace be upon him) observed: He who killed himself with steel (weapon) would be the eternal denizen of the Fire of Hell and he would have that weapon in his hand and would be thrusting that in his stomach forever and ever, he who drank poison and killed himself would sip that in the Fire of Hell where he is doomed forever and ever; and he who killed himself by falling from

_

²⁷ Noorhaidi Hasan, "Ambivalent Doctrines and Conflicts in the Salafi Movement in Indonesia," in Roel Meijer (ed.), *Global Salafism: Islam's New Religious Movement* (London: Hurst & Company, 2009), pp. 185-6.

²⁸ Madawi Al-Rasheed, *Contesting the Saudi State: Islamic Voices from A New Generation* (New York: Cambridge University Press, 2007), p. 79.

²⁹ Cited in Shireen Khan Burki, "Haram or Halal? Islamists' Use of Suicide Attacks as Jihad," *Terrorism and Political Violence*, Vol. 23 (2011), p. 589.

(the top of) a mountain would constantly fall in the Fire of Hell and would live there forever and ever.³⁰

In short, suicide attacks in the perspective of this salafi group are seen as un-islamic and strictly forbidden in Islam based on the sacred text.

Salafi-Jihadism

Salafi-Jihadism is a term coined by Gilles Kepel to describe the beliefs of Salafi who became interested in violent jihad starting in the mid-1990s.³¹ It refers to the movement which insists that violence is a legitimate tactic in the current social and political context in which the Muslim world finds itself. The aim is not just to destroy the enemy, but to torment and degrade it. What is distinctive about the jihādī wing of Salafism is its fusion of the Salafi elements with an ethos of murderous violence—an ethos, to repeat, vehemently rejected by the Salafi mainstream.

Teachings of Salafi-jihadism had been around in Indonesia since the 1940s, even they were not called Salafi-jihadism. The group that promoted these teachings was Darul Islam (Abode of Islam), which wanted to establish an Islamic state in Indonesia. The movement, launched in West Java and led by Sekarmadji Maridjan Kartosuwirjo (a Javanese), was originally a cultural and language resistance initiative by the Sundanese. Filling the post-Independence power-vacuum in West Java at the end of 1940s, Kartosuwirjo and his supporters, the "Indonesian Islamic Soldiers (TII)," proclaimed the "Indonesian Islamic State" (NII). Hence, he openly defied not only the Dutch, but also the nationalist government in Jakarta. Subsequently, Darul Islam mushroomed in Aceh, Central Java, and South Sulawesi.

This spirit of *jihādī* movement is continued by, first, Jamaah Ansharut Tauhid (JAT), established in 2008 by Abu Bakar Ba'asyir, the former head of Jemaah Islamiyah (Islamic Group). This group focuses on dakwah (proselytization among Muslims), participates in demonstrations, publishes a magazine, and does public outreach to spread Salafi-Jihadists ideas. It has clearly defined organizational structure, maintains regional branch offices down to the district and

³⁰ Abū al-Ḥusayn Muslim ibn al-Ḥajjāj al-Nīsābūrī, Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim, The Book of Faith (Kitāb al-Imān) (Saudi Arabia: Dār al-Afkār al-Dawliyyah, 1998), p. 69

³¹ Wikipedia, "Salafist-Jihadism," in http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Salafist_jihadism. Accessed on 19 January 2014.

cell levels in areas where it has taken root, and has a paramilitary with that conducts training exercises. This group argued that jihad in Indonesia is absolutely fard 'ayn (individual obligation); therefore, no person or organization can prevent someone to carry out jihad.

However, there is a controversy about Abu Bakar Ba'asyir regarding his opinion of suicide operation carried out by some people in Indonesia. Some people believed that Ba'asyir supported this kind of operation since he was a part of Jemaah Islamiyah and its alleged spiritual head in Indonesia,³² while in some media Ba'asyir argued that no Islamic law could justify an attack that injured many innocent people. Furthermore, he insisted that bomb attacks are an accursed act, sinful, except to those people proven to have attacked Muslims.³³ He said that suicide bombing that occurred in Cirebon is an illegal act prohibited by Islam.³⁴ He also strongly denied police allegations that the recent Surakarta church suicide bomber Pino Damayanto was affiliated with his organization. In his book, Ba'asyir argued that suicide is wrong and forbidden but *istishhād* (the search for martyrdom) is not and that recent bombing events were such practices, although he regrets that they were conducted in a safe zone.³⁵

Second, Jemaah Islamiyah (Islamic group), a clandestine Salafi Jihadist movement that arose in 1993 as a breakaway faction of Darul Islam (DI). This Salafi-jihadist combined the textual literalism of Salafi Islam with the belief that violent *jihād* is the best way to achieve one's goals. "Darul Islam" (DI) is the umbrella term used to refer to several regional Islamic rebellions that fought against the Indonesia army in

³² Dian Intannia, "Ba'asyir Restui Bob Natal", detik.com (29 October 2002); Editorial Team, "Laporan Utama," Gatra (8 May 2004); also see International Crisis Group, "Indonesia Backgrounder: How The Jemaah Islamiyah Terrorist Network Operates," Asia Report, No. 43 (11 December 2002); Adrianus Harsawaskita and Evan A. Laksmana, "Rethinking Terrorism in Indonesia: Lesson from The 2002 Bali Bombing," UNISCI Discussion Papers, No. 15 (October 2007), p. 68.

^{33 &}quot;Bombing forbidden in Islam, Ba'asyir says," The Jakarta Post (Monday, 03 October 2011) in http://www.thejakartapost.com/news/2011/10/03/bombing-forbidden-islam-baasyir-says.html-0. Accessed on 28 April 2014.

³⁴ Editorial Team, "Ba'asyir Kutuk Bom Cirebon" (15 April 2011), in http://nasional.- news.viva.co.id/news/read/215075-ba-asyir-kutuk-bom-cirebon. Accessed on 28 April 2014.

³⁵ Abu Bakar Ba'asyir, Catatan Dari Penjara: Untuk Mengamalkan dan Menegakkan Dinul Islam (Depok: Penerbit Mushaf, 2006), pp. 281-283.

the 1950s before being crushed in the early 1960s. During Suharto's New Order regime, Darul Islam remained an underground movement. In the course of history, people associated with Darul Islam have conducted most of the violence in the name of Islam in Indonesia, including attacks in 1970s and 1980s. Bali bombing that occurred on 12 October 2002 was allegedly carried out by Jemaah Islamiyah, a splinter group of Darul Islam.

One of the Indonesian Salafi-jihadists, who was convicted as an actor behind Bali bombing and finally sentenced to death, is Imam Samudra. He has his own opinion about jihād. He argues that jihād today is not just obligatory but a fard 'ayn (personal obligation) upon every single Muslim. This is because the classical Muslim scholars ruled that if an inch of a Muslim's land is seized then it become incumbent to all Muslims to liberate it through armed jihad. In today's context, Imam Samudra argues that there are plenty of Muslim lands that remain occupied by non-Muslims such as Palestine, Iraq, Afghanistan, Chechnya, Kashmir, and Mindanao. Because people of the respective lands are not able to repel the enemy by themselves, Muslims all over the world are to render their hands and participate in *jihād* against the occupying forces.36

In Samudra's thought, *jihād* is understood in the frame of revenge. It is based on the fact that the unbelievers or infidels have attacked Muslims without any limits. Therefore, Muslims are obliged to take revenge by waging war on them. According to Samudra, jihād from the shari'ah perspective means "waging war" on the infidels who fight against Islam and Muslims. He called this concept as jihād fī sabīl Allāh (waging a holy war in the cause of Allah).³⁷ In his opinion, the first Quranic verse on *jihād* that was revealed to the Prophet Muhammad is about waging war on the unbelievers who fight against Islam; that is, "And fight in the cause of Allah against those who fight against you, but do not transgress. Surely, Allah loves not the transgressors" (QS. al-Baqarah [2]: 191). War in the military history of Islam against Rome and Persia is based on the ethics of war which bans unlimited aggression; that is, a prohibition of killing women, children, elderly people, plants, and environment.38

³⁶ Imam Samudra, Aku Melawan Teroris (Solo: Jazera, 2004), pp. 159–170.

³⁷ Ibid., p. 108.

³⁸ Ibid., pp. 115-116.

In Samudra's view, if the infidels do not transgress in war, the ethics of Islamic war proceeds on the shari'ah path. As long as Americans, Jews, and their allies do not fight against and massacre Muslim civilians, so the Muslim fighters (mujāhidin) have to wage war on them based on the original principle, that is, the prohibition of fighting against civil citizens. In fact, Americans and their allies always slaughter civilians in Muslim countries without any mercy. It is this background that brings about Samudra's jihadic concept of revenge; war for a war, blood for blood, massacre for a massacre, and so forth. Therefore, a series of bombing attacks emerged in some areas, such as suicidal bombing of Legian Bali on 12 October 2014.

Imam Samudra chose Bali as a target of attack, particularly Paddy's Bar and Sari Club, because they were places of vices. They have no value in Islam. Therefore, they can be put into "waste." Bali is also claimed as used as a place of spreading apostasy among Muslims. Therefore, this attack is legitimate. He justifies his argument by quoting the verse that says, "And slay them wherever you may come upon them." (QS. Al-Baqarah [2]: 191).39 The rationale behind Bali martyr's bombing is that it is considered as a fight against a hostile enemy in revenge for the death of Muslim civilians and jihad in the path of Allah.⁴⁰ Imam Samudra rejects the use of the word "suicide" for such an operation. Instead, he prefers to name it as 'amaliyyat istishhādiyyah (martyrdom operation), a term that is popularly used by those who permit or support such an act.⁴¹ He considers such an act to be an act of jihad carried out by a sincere individual in pursuit of martyrdom enjoined by Islam.

In this case, the reference of Imam Samudra is Muslim scholar, Ibn Nuhas, who cited 16 hadiths (the Prophet's tradition) about acts of individuals attacking an enemy's army singlehandedly disregarding his own safety, which could be considered as suicidal. Imam Samudra then provides an explanation given by Abū Hurayrah and Abū Ayyūb al-Anṣarī (both were companions of the Prophet) to put things in proper perspective. He reports that Abū Hurayrah, when asked to comment on such incidents, read the following verse aloud, "But there is [also] a

³⁹ Cited in Muhammad Haniff bin Hassan, "Imam Samudra's Justification for Bali Bombing," Studies in Conflict & Terrorism, Vol. 30 (2007), pp. 1040.

⁴⁰ Samudra, Aku Melawan Teroris, p. 188.

⁴¹ Ibid., pp. 175–184.

kind of man who would willingly sell his own self in order to please God. . ." (QS. Al-Baqarah [2]: 207).

When eyewitnesses of such incidents commented, "the person has thrown himself into destruction," Abū Ayyūb al-Ansārī corrected them by explaining that the following verse does not refer to such incidents, "And spend [freely] in God's cause, and let not your own hands throw you into destruction; and persevere in doing good: behold, God loves the doers of good" (QS. Al-Baqarah [2]: 195). Instead, the verse corrected those Muslims who felt that because God had made Islam victorious and the number of believers had increased over the years it would be prudent if they now spent their time in managing the economy.42

Imam Samudra points out that "the destruction" specified by the verse was a result of involvement in economic activities at the expense of jihad. To him, the suicide operation (istishhad) is legitimate, and concluding from what Ibn Nuhas had written, is strongly encouraged. Samudra's view on this issue is the same as the view of other global Jihadi-Salafists who claimed "martyr" (shahīd) for those who carry out suicidal operation. In this case, Jihadi-Salafists point out that the Quran recognizes and venerates the category of the martyr in verses 2:207, 3:169, 4:69, 4:74, 4:95–96 and 9:20–22.43 The two most cited verses, however, are:

> Allah hath purchased of the believers their persons and their goods; for theirs (in return) is the garden (of Paradise): they fight in His cause, and slay and are slain: a promise binding on Him in truth ..." (QS. al-Tawbah (9): 111).

> And call not those who are slain in the way of Allah 'dead.' Nay, they are living, only ye perceive not. (QS. al-Baqarah (2): 154).

In short, it can be argued that this group have justified the practice of suicide attacks or "martyrdom operations" targetted to symbols of tagut (false god). Even, preeminently, the bombers are referred to as "martyrs" (shuhada') or "those who sacrifice themselves" (fida'iyyūn).

⁴² Cited in Muhammad Haniff, "Imam Samudra's Justification for Bali Bombing," p. 1042.

⁴³ See Mohammed M. Hafez, "The Alchemy of Martyrdom: Jihadi Salafism and Debates over Suicide Bombings in the Muslim World," Asian Journal of Social Science, Vol. 38 (2010), p. 37.

These men and women who "blow themselves up" or carry out "martyrdom operations" ('amaliyyāt istishhādiyyah), do not commit suicide for suicide is a sin. This is because the shahād (martyr) has sacrificed himself for the sake of the superior goal of defending his religion and community, while common suicide is a hopeless deed performed by a person who kills himself for his own selfish reasons.

Conclusion

This paper is to analyze Indonesian Salafism on *jihād* and suicide bombings. From the discussion, it can be concluded that there are two different points of view related to this issue. The first is those who do not agree with suicide bombing directed to Western targets—mainly America—and its symbols. This is represented by Salafists who are involved in Salafi-based foundations like As-Sunnah, Ihyaut Turats, al-Sofwah, Lajnah al-Khairiyah, Lajnah al-Istiqamah, and Wahdah Islamiyyah. The reason is that the act of suicide bombings is the same as killing oneself, and this is extremely forbidden in Islam.

The second is those who have justified suicide bombing attacks to infidel targets and the symbols of <u>tagut</u> (false gods). The reason includes that the people who blow themselves up do not commit suicide for suicide is a sin, but they carry out "martyrdom operations" ('<u>amaliyyat istishhādiyyah</u>). Shahīd (martyr) has sacrificed himself for the sake of the superior goal of defending his religion and community, while common suicide is a hopeless deed performed by a person who kills himself for his own selfish reasons. []

References

Books and Articles

'Imarah, Muḥammad. al-Salafiyyah. Tunisia: Dar al-Ma'arif, nd.

Abushouk, Ahmed Ibrahim. "Al-Manar and the Ḥadhrami Elite in the Malay-Indonesian World: Challenge and Response." *JRAS*. Series 3. Vol. 17. No. 3 (2007), pp. 301-322.

al-Banna, Ḥasan. "Risalah al-Jihad." in *Majmuʿah al-Rasaʾil al-Imam al-Shahīd Ḥasan al-Banna*. Alexandria: Dar al-Da'wah, 1998.

al-Būṭi, Muḥammad Saʿid Ramaḍān. al-Salafiyyah: Marḥalah Zamaniyyah Mubārakah Lā Madhhab Islāmī. Damaskus: Dār al-Fikr, 1990.

- al-Darabi, Isa ibn Nasir. "Min Ma'alim al-Taysir fi Tafsir al-Salaf." Majallah al-Buḥūth wa al-Dirāsāt al-Qur'āniyyah. No. 3, Year II, pp. 163-164.
- al-Farahidi, al-Khalil ibn Ahmad. Kitab al-'Ayn. Tahqiq: 'Abd al-Hamid Handawi. Vol. 2. Beirut: Dar al-Kutub al-Ilmiyyah, 2003.
- al-Fayrūzābādī, Muhammad ibn Ya'qūb. al-Qāmūs al-Muhīt. Vol. 3. Mesir: al-Hay'ah al-Misriyyah al-'Amah li al-Kitāb, 1979.
- al-Ghazāli, Abū Ḥāmid Muḥammad. "Iljām al-'Awwām 'an 'Ilm al-Kalām." in Majmū'ah Rasā'il al-Imām al-Ghazāli. Taḥqiq: Ibrāhim Amin Muḥammad. Kairo: al-Maktabah al-Tawfiqiyyah, nd.
- al-Jawhari, Isma'il ibn Hammad. al-Sihāh: Tāj al-Lughah wa Sihāh al-'Arabiyyah. Tahqiq: Ahmad 'Abd al-Ghafur 'Attar. Vol. 4. Beirut: Dar al-'Ilm li al-Malayın, 1979.
- al-Jawziyyah, Shams al-Din Ibn Qayyim. Zād al-Ma ad fī Hudā Khayr al-Tbād, Vol. 1. Cairo: Mustafā al-Bābi al-Halabī, 1970.
- al-Nisabūri, Abū al-Husayn Muslim ibn al-Hajjaj. Sahih Muslim. The Book of Faith (Kitab al-Iman). Saudi Arabia: Dar al-Afkar al-Dawliyyah, 1998.
- Al-Rasheed, Madawi. Contesting the Saudi State: Islamic Voices from A New Generation. New York: Cambridge University Press, 2007.
- al-Sam'ani, 'Abd al-Karim ibn Muhammad. al-Ansāb. Vol. 7. Kairo: Maktabah Ibn Taymiyyah, 1976.
- al-Sili, Sayyid 'Abd al-'Aziz. al-'Aqidah al-Salafiyyah bayn al-Imam Ibn Ḥanbal wa al-Imām Ibn Taymiyyah. Kairo: Dār al-Manār, 1993.
- al-Zabidi, Sayyid Muhammad Murtadā al-Husayni. Tāj al-'Arūs min Jawahir al-Qamus. Tahqiq: 'Abd al-Fattah al-Hilw. Vol. 23. Kuwait: Matba'ah Hukumah al-Kuwayt, 1986.
- Amīr, Jābir Idrīs 'Alī. Manhaj al-Salaf wa al-Mutakallimīn fī Muwāfaqah al-'Aql li al-Naql wa Athar al-Manhajayn fi al-'Aqidah. Vol. 1. Riyad: Adwa' al-Salaf, 1998.
- Ba'asyir, Abu Bakar. Catatan Dari Penjara: Untuk Mengamalkan dan Menegakkan Dinul Islam. Depok: Penerbit Mushaf, 2006.
- Burki, Shireen Khan. "Haram or Halal? Islamists' Use of Suicide Attacks as "Jihad." Terrorism and Political Violence. Vol. 23 (2011), pp. 582-601.
- Dictionary Composing Team, Kamus Besar Bahasa Indonesia. Third Edition. Jakarta: Balai Pustaka, 2005.

- Duderija, Adis. "Islamic Groups and their World-views and Identities:Neo-Traditional Salafis and Progressive Muslims." Arab Law Quarterly. Vol. 21 (2007), pp. 341-363.
- Hafez, Mohammed M. "The Alchemy of Martyrdom: Jihadi Salafism and Debates over Suicide Bombings in the Muslim World." Asian Journal of Social Science. Vol. 38 (2010), pp. 364–378.
- Harsawaskita, Adrianus and Evan A. Laksmana. "Rethinking Terrorism in Indonesia: Lesson from The 2002 Bali Bombing." UNISCI Discussion Papers, No. 15 (October 2007).
- Hasan, Noorhaidi. "Ambivalent Doctrines and Conflicts in the Salafi Movement in Indonesia." in Roel Meijer (Ed), *Global Salafism: Islam's New Religious Movement.* London: Hurst & Company, 2009.
- Hasan, Noorhaidi. "Salafi Madrasahs and Islamic Radicalism in Post-New Order Indonesia." in Kamaruzzaman Bustaman-Ahmad dan Patrick Jory (eds). Islamic Studies and Islamic Education in Contemporary Southeast Asia. Malaysia: Yayasan Ilmuan, 2011.
- Hassan, Muhammad Haniff bin "Imam Samudra's Justification for Bali Bombing," *Studies in Conflict & Terrorism*, Vol. 30 (2007), pp. 1033–1056.
- Intannia, Dian. "Ba'asyir Restui Bob Natal." detik.com. (29 October 2002).
- International Crisis Group. "Indonesia Backgrounder: How The Jemaah Islamiyah Terrorist Network Operates." *Asia Report*, No. 43 (11 December 2002).
- Magill, Frank N. *International Encyclopedia of Sociology*. Vol. 2. London, Chicago: Salem Press, 1995.
- Majmaʻ al-Lughah al-'Arabiyyah. *al-Muʻjam al-Wasīṭ*. 4th Edition. Mesir: Maktabah al-Shurūq al-Dawliyyah, 2004.
- Manzūr, Ibn. Lisān al-'Arab. Vol. 4. Kairo: Dar al-Ḥadith, 2003.
- Noorhaidi, Laskar Jihad: Islam, Militancy and the Quest for Identity in Post-New Order Indonesia (Ph.D Thesis, Universiteit Utrecht, 2005).
- Samudra, Imam. Aku Melawan Teroris. Jazera: Solo, 2004.
- Soage, Ana Belén. "Hasan al-Banna and Sayyid Qutb: Contiunity or Rupture?" *The Muslim World.* Vol. 99. no. 2 (April 2009), pp. 294-311.
- Editorial Team, "Laporan Utama," Gatra (8 May 2004).
- Turner, Ralph and Lewis Killian. *Collective Behaviour*. Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey: Prentice Hall, 1957.

- Wikipedia. "Salafist-Jihadism." in http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sala- fist jihadism. Accessed on 19 January 2014.
- Editorial Team, "Bombing forbidden in Islam, Ba'asyir says," The Jakarta Post (Monday, 03 October 2011) in http://www.theja- kartapost.com/news/2011/10/03/bombing-forbidden-islambaasyir-says.html-0. Accessed on 28 April 2014.
- Editorial Team, "Ba'asyir Kutuk Bom Cirebon" (15 April 2011), in http://nasional.news.viva.co.id/news/read/215075-ba-asvirkutuk-bom-cirebon. Accessed on 28 April 2014.